

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.13268 of 2018

Nav Vihar Educational And Rural Development Society Gaya through its
Secretary, Rajesh Ranjan Sahay @ Raju, Son of Girish Lal, Resident of
Mohalla- Bahuar Chaura, Gaya, P.S.- Vishnupad, District- Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India represented by the Secretary, Ministry of Human Resources Development, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi- 110001
2. The Chairperson, National Council for Teacher Education, Hans Bhawan, Wing-II, 1 Bahadur Saha Zafar Marg, New Delhi- 110002
3. The Member Secretary National Council for Teacher Education, Hans Bhawan, Wing-II, 1 Bahadur Saha Zafar Marg, New Delhi- 110002
4. The Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, National Council for Teacher Education, 15 Neelakantha Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar- 721012, District- Khurda.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rajesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mrs. Nivedita Nirvikar, CGC
Mr. Sunil Kumar Singh, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

2 06-01-2020 The petition filed on 11.07.2018 is listed for hearing
for the first time today before the Court.



Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the respondents.

Petitioner has prayed for the following relief:

“For issuance of appropriate writ, order, direction and for quashing of the Notification dated 22-06-2017 passed and issued by the Member Secretary of the National Council for Teachers Education, New Delhi by which on wrong concept and quoting the wrong provision of the National Council for Teachers Education Act, 1993 shifted the location of the Eastern Regional Committee from Bhubaneshwar to New Delhi and for maintaining status quo as on date during pendency of the writ application.”

After the matter was heard for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner shall be content if a direction is issued to the concerned respondents to consider and decide the representation, which the petitioner shall be making afresh within a period of four weeks from today.

Learned counsel for the State states that upon receipt of such request, if any, the same shall be dealt with in accordance with law, expeditiously and positively within a period of three months from the date of receipt thereof.

Equally, liberty is reserved to the petitioner to take recourse to such alternative remedies as are otherwise available in accordance with law.



We are hopeful that as and when petitioner takes recourse to such remedies, as are otherwise available in law, before the appropriate forum, the same shall be dealt with, in accordance with law and with reasonable dispatch.

The petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

P.K.P./-

U			
---	--	--	--

