

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4642 of 2020

=====

Babloo Kumar @ Babalu Kumar Son of Vinod Prasad, resident of Village -
Village Rajpur, P.S.- Rajpur, District- Rohtas at Sasaram.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Registration, Excise and Prohibition Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate-cum-Collector, Gaya.
3. The Assistant Excise Commissioner, Gaya.
4. The Superintendent of Excise, Gaya.
5. The Superintendent of Police, Gaya.
6. The Vehicle Inspector, Gaya.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Dewendra Narayan Singh
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Vikash Kumar (Sc11)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR)

Date : 06-07-2020

The proceedings of the Court are being conducted through Video Conferencing and the Advocates joined the proceedings through Video Conferencing from their residence.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the State.

This writ petition has been filed for release of Maruti Suzuki Alto 800 Car bearing registration no. BR-24T-6198, Engine No. F8DN5769537, Chasis No. MA3EUA61S00A14392 which has been seized in connection with Gaya Excise Case No. 435 of 2019 registered for the



offence punishable under Sections 30(a) and 56(b), of Bihar Prohibition and Excise (Amendment) Act, 2018 and rules 2(e), 3, 5 and 13 of Bihar Excise (Mahua Flowers) Rules, 2006.

Allegation is recovery of 150 Kg of mahua flower from the vehicle of petitioner, on basis of which Gaya Excise Case No. 435 of 2019 was instituted for offences under Excise Act and the mahua flower as well as vehicle were seized.

Division Bench of this court in its judgment dated 09.07.2019 passed in C.W.J.C. No. 23163 of 2018 (Umesh Kumar @ Umesh Mahto Vs. State of Bihar and other analogous cases) with respect to seizure of mahua flower under Excise Act, 2016 has held as follows :

“In result, we hold that the confiscation proceedings, if any, initiated against the petitioners for alleged violation of Section 3 of the Mahua Flowers Rules’ read alongside the provisions of ‘the Act’ for possession of Mahua Flowers exceeding 5 kgs., is without sanction of law and consequentially the confiscation proceeding, if any, initiated against the petitioners shall stand quashed and the vehicles seized, if not already released, shall be released in favour of the owner on production of ownership papers.”

It has been stated that no confiscation proceeding has



been initiated and even if any confiscation proceeding has been initiated, same is directed to be dropped.

Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the petitioner to file a petition in the court of Special Judge, (Excise), Gaya in Gaya Excise Case No. 435 of 2019 for release of the vehicle as bar of jurisdiction in confiscation under section 60 of the Act is not applicable and Special Court (Excise) has jurisdiction to release the vehicle and upon such petition being filed the Special Court (Excise), Gaya is directed to provisionally release the vehicle in favour of its registered owner on due identification and production of ownership documents on usual terms and conditions as imposed for release of vehicle within 30 days from the date of filing of such petition.

The writ petition is allowed.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(S. Kumar, J)

veena/rajiv-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N.A.
Uploading Date	
Transmission Date	N.A.

