

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.14247 of 2020

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-206 Year-2019 Thana- PIPRAHI District- Sheohar

Manish @ Manish Kumar son of Gagandev Thakur Resident of Village -
Harkarwa, Police Station - Piprahi, District - Sheohar.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Ms.Madhubala Verma, Advocate
For the Opposite Party : Mr.Shyam Bihari Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY
ORAL ORDER

2 06-07-2020 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the State.

The petitioner is apprehending arrest in connection
with Piprahi P.S. Case No. 206 of 2019 for offences under
Sections 323, 342, 354, 354A, 504 and 506 of the Indian Penal
Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner has no criminal antecedent. The allegation against the
petitioner is that when Sushma Kumari was returning from
Coaching Institute, Sheohar, this petitioner caught hold of her
bicycle and threatened her to compromise the previous case.
There is further allegation against the petitioner that on Whats-
App he informed her that he will solemnize marriage with the
informant. The case was instituted on 26.11.2019 after gap of



two and half months as the alleged occurrence took place on 02.09.2019.

Considering the fact that there is delay of more than two and half months in lodging the first information report and there is no overt act of outraging the modesty of the informant against the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail him. Let petitioner, above-named, in the event of arrest or surrender within one month from today, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees twenty five thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Sheohar in connection with Piprahi P.S. Case No. 206 of 2019, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C. and subject to further condition that bailors should be parents of the petitioner.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

BT/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

