

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.15908 of 2020

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-71 Year-2019 Thana- PUSA District- Samastipur

=====

DHANANJAY PRIYADARSHAN Son of Late Anil Kant R/o Moh.-
Sadhanapuri Gardanibagh, P.S.-Gardanibagh, District-Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Binay Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Renu Kumari

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

2 12-06-2020 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and

learned counsel for the State.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Pusa P.S. Case No. 71 of 2019 registered for the offence
under Sections 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner, who is of clean antecedent, is innocent and has not
committed any offence. In fact, nothing has been recovered
from the conscious possession of the petitioner rather the
alleged recovery of liquor has been made from the vehicle of the
petitioner which has stolen before the occurrence. The petitioner
may be granted the privilege of anticipatory bail.

Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the prayer
for bail and submitted that total 234 liters of foreign liquor has



been recovered from the vehicle of the petitioner which is alleged to have been stolen but an F.I.R. in this regard has been instituted after three and half months by the petitioner, which is evident from Annexure-5. Hence, the petitioner does not deserve the privilege of anticipatory bail.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is not inclined to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail is rejected.

(Arvind Srivastava, J)

brajesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

