

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.12259 of 2020

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-117 Year-2018 Thana- NOWKOTHI GARHPURA District-
Begusarai

=====

SANJEET GOSWAMI Son of Chandra Shekhar Goswami Resident of Village
- Jitpur, P.S.- Bakhri, District - Begusarai.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Binod Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Uma Shankar Prasad Singh

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

3 04-06-2020 The proceedings of the Court are being conducted
through Video Conferencing and the Advocates joined the
proceedings through Video Conferencing from their residence.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

Petitioner, who is in custody, seeks bail in a case
registered for the offence punishable under Section 379 of the
I.P.C.

Informant has alleged in his written complaint that
on 20.11.2018 at about 10.00 PM while he went to sleep after
dinner and when he woke up at 2 0' clock in the night he found
that his motorcycle has been stolen. FIR is against unknown.



It has been submitted on behalf of petitioner that petitioner is not named in the FIR. His name has transpired in this case on the basis of confessional statement of co-accused Arbind Kumar. Nothing has been recovered from the possession of petitioner. One co-accused, namely, Saurabh Kumar whose name also came on confessional statement has been granted regular bail by the court below itself. Petitioner is in custody since 10.12.2019.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner named above be released on bail upon furnishing bail bond of Rs. 20,000/- (twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned court below, in connection with Nawkothe Case No. 117 of 2018 subject to the conditions that:-

(1) Bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned.

(2) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and his absence on two consecutive dates



without proper and sufficient reason the trial court will be at liberty to cancel his bail bond.

(3) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(S. Kumar, J)

veena/rajiv-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

