

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.13099 of 2020

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1399 Year-2019 Thana- AHIYAPUR District- Muzaffarpur

Sunil Kumar Singh @ Sunil Kumar, Son of Late Ramchandra Singh Resident
of Village - Jagauliya Tola Khasad, P.S.- Madhuban, Distt - East Champaran.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Patanjali Rishi

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Bhanu Pratap Singh

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJENDRA KUMAR
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 20-10-2020 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned A.P.P. for the State through video conferencing.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Ahiyapur P.S. Case No. 1399 of 2019, registered under
Section 304/34 of the Indian Penal Code, pending in the court
of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Muzaffarpur.

The accusation is that on 26.11.2019, informant
Binda Ram admitted his pregnant daughter-in-law Gayatri
Kumari in pregnancy ward at SKMCH. In course of treatment,
two unknown female came and asked her that there is no
proper treatment here and asked to accompany his daughter
in law at the hospital of Sunil Singh (Petitioner) and after short
interval Sunil Singh (Petitioner), who was present there
managed to take his daughter in law, boarding on his vehicle,



at Ford Hospital, where he made demand of Rs. 30,000/- for operation, but he had no money then Sunil Singh (Petitioner) asked him that without money his daughter-in-law will not be admitted for delivery for which operation is required. In the meantime, his daughter-in-law died.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of petitioner submits that, in fact, petitioner is not the Doctor, rather, he is owner of Ford Hospital and he has no concerned with the alleged occurrence. Further submission is that the daughter-in-law of the informant has brought in the hospital in critical condition and she died before treatment.

Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case and the nature of allegation against the petitioner, I am not inclined to grant pre-arrest bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, his prayer for grant of pre-arrest bail stands rejected. The petitioner is directed to surrender before the trial Court within four weeks and pray for regular bail, which shall be considered by the trial Court in accordance with law without being prejudiced by the order of this Court.

(Rajendra Kumar Mishra, J)

manish/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

