

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.13227 of 2020

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-14 Year-2012 Thana- RUDRAPUR District- Madhubani

Manoj Jha @ Manoj Kumar Jha,, Male, aged about 48 years, Son of Yadu Jha,
Resident of Village - Rakahwari, P.S. - Rudrapur, District - Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Md. Soban Asghar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Shyam Kumar Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

5 29-06-2020 Heard the parties through the virtual court proceeding.

The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Rudrapur
P.S. Case No. 14 of 2012 arising out of G.R. No.474 of 2012
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 302, 201,
120(B), 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

The prosecution story in brief is that all accused
persons named in the F.I.R. killed due to her protest illicit
relationships of Anoj Jha with Bhabi.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence and he has
falsely been implicated in this case. The prosecution case is
false and concocted. Petitioner was out of village at Merath
(U.P.) for his private job. Mother-in-law Suryakala Devi has
been enlarged on bail, husband Anoj Jha, father-in-law, mother-
in-law have been acquitted in the present case. Petitioner is in



jail custody since 12.12.2019. He further submits that there is no chance of absconding of the petitioner.

Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the prayer for bail.

After hearing both the parties and on perusal of case record, I find that F.I.R. has been lodged under Sections 302, 201/34 of I.P.C. petitioner is Bhaisur of the deceased Kanchan Devi. From perusal of case diary, sufficient materials are available about the involvement of the petitioner in the occurrence. Charge sheet has been submitted against the petitioner.

In the facts and circumstances of the case, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. Hence, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is hereby rejected at present in connection with Rudrapur P.S. Case No.14 of 2012 arising out of G.R. No.474 of 212. However, petitioner is directed to renew his prayer for bail after framing of charge and the court below is directed to frame the charge against the petitioner expeditiously.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

Nasimul/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

