

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.13894 of 2020

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-55 Year-2018 Thana- RAJPUR District- Buxar

VINDHDYACHAL YADAV S/o Vishwanath Yadav R/o village- Lehari Dera
(Dihari), P.S.- Rajpur, District- Buxar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Krishna Pd. Singh, Sr. Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anuj Kumar Shrivastava

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HEMANT KUMAR
SRIVASTAVA**

ORAL ORDER

4 07-09-2020 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as
learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State through video
conferencing.

Petitioner seeks bail in connection with Rajpur P.S.
Case No. 55/2018 registered for the offences punishable under
Sections 302/34 of the Indian Penal Code and 27 of the Arms
Act.

Petitioner is said to have shot fire on the forehead of
the deceased but submission on behalf of the petitioner is that
no firearm injury was found on forehead of the deceased and,
moreover, two persons are said to have opened fire on the
forehead of the deceased but only one firearm injury was found
on the skull of the deceased.

The trial court has reported that the case is running for
recording the defence evidence. The report of trial court goes to



show that the trial of the petitioner is on the verge of conclusion, therefore, I am not inclined to release the petitioner on bail for present.

Accordingly, prayer for bail of the petitioner stands rejected.

However, learned trial court is directed to dispose of the trial of the petitioner within five months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order either via physical or video conferencing whichever is possible. It is also made clear that, if the trial court fails to dispose of the trial of the petitioner within the above stated period, the petitioner shall be at liberty to renew his prayer for bail.

(Hemant Kumar Srivastava, J)

Spd/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

