

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.17385 of 2020

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-135 Year-2014 Thana- MADANPUR District- Aurangabad

1. LADOO @ CHANDRA SEN SINGH, Son of Satyendra Singh,
 2. Sujeet Singh, Son of Ram Chandra Singh'
- Both Residents of Village - Sondih, P.S.- Madanpur, District - Aurangabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Alok Kumar Alok, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Rana Randhir Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

3 25-09-2020 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
APP for the State through virtual court proceedings.

The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 147, 148, 149, 341, 504, 337, 323, 353, 427 of the Indian Penal Code and 17 C.L.A. Act.

Prosecution case in brief is that on 19.07.2014 the informant got a secret input that Maoist were instigating the villagers to attack on police establishments and CRFP Camp in order to kill the personnel and loot arms and ammunitions. At about 14.45 hrs 1000/extremists arrived and began to break the gate of the Camp in which constable Raghuraj Singh and Saddam Gayakward sustained injuries.



Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence. They are not named in the FIR, during investigation, the names of the petitioners are made out in this case.

Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail petition of the petitioners.

On perusal of the case diary, it appears that the informant in his restatement vide para-7 and the witnesses vide paras, 8, 9 of the case diary have supported the prosecution case. Vide para 30 in the supervision note, the case was found true. In this note, names of the petitioners found place vide Serial nos. 20 and 40 respectively. Vide para 74 investigation is till going on.

In the aforesaid facts and circumstances, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioners on bail. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners are rejected in connection with Madanpur P.S. Case No. 135 of 2014 from the Court of learned C.J.M., Aurangabad.

Accordingly, the application is dismissed.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

amitkumar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

