

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.22697 of 2018

=====

Shambhu Kumar Dahlan, son of Kedar Nath Dahlan, resident of Dahlan Chowk, Ward No. 20, Saharsa, P.S. Saharsa, District Saharsa

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Chief Secretary, State of Bihar
3. The Principal Secretary, Social Welfare, Government of Bihar, Patna
4. The District Magistrate, Saharsa at Saharsa, District Saharsa.
5. The Superintendent of Police, Saharsa at Saharsa, District Saharsa
6. The Union of India through the Secretary Cabinet Secretariat, New Delhi

... .. Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Ranjan Kumar Jha, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. AC to GA 4
For Union of India	:	Mr. S.D. Sanjay, Addl. S.G. Mr. Rajesh Kumar Verma, ASG

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

And

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

2 06-01-2020 The petition filed on 28.11.2018 is listed for hearing
for the first time today before the Court.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the respondents.

Petitioner has prayed for the following relief:

“(a) To take immediate and appropriate steps to ensure that innocent citizen be not harassed by the associations, societies, panchayat or other likewise association in the grab of social



boycott (which is a social evil and in violation of fundamental right guaranteed under Indian Constitution) to settle personal grudge.

(b) To come out with appropriate act/rule/regulations to control and prohibits the social evil of Social boycott of a citizen and by that depriving one from right to live meaning thereby right to live with dignity.

(c) To any other direction/s which may appear just and proper in the facts and circumstances of this case.”

After the matter was heard for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner shall be content if a direction is issued to the concerned respondents to consider and decide the representation, which the petitioner shall be making afresh within a period of four weeks from today.

Learned counsel for the State states that upon receipt of such request, if any, the same shall be dealt with in accordance with law, expeditiously and positively within a period of three months from the date of receipt thereof.

Equally, liberty is reserved to the petitioner to take recourse to such alternative remedies as are otherwise available in accordance with law.

We are hopeful that as and when petitioner takes recourse to such remedies, as are otherwise available in law, before the appropriate forum, the same shall be dealt with, in accordance with law and with reasonable dispatch.



The petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

BT/-

U			
---	--	--	--

