

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
(FROM RESIDENTIAL OFFICE VIA VIDEO APPLICATION)
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.9672 of 2020**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1 Year-2020 Thana- BISFI (PATAUNA) District- Madhubani

SONU YADAV @ SONU KUMAR YADAV Son of Vimal Yadav Resident of
Village- Lohra, P.S.- Bisfi (Patauna), District- Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ravindra Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Sharda Kumari, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER**

2 12-06-2020 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP
for the State.

The petitioner in the present case is seeking anticipatory
bail in connection with Bisfi (Patauna) P.S. Case No. 01 of 2020
registered for the offence punishable under Sections 272, 273 of the
Indian Penal Code and Section 30(a) of Bihar Prohibition & Excise
Act.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the alleged
recovery of illicit liquor has not been made from possession of the
petitioner rather his name has come in the confessional statement of
co-accused and the petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

Learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer for
anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case
wherein name of the petitioner is said to have come in the



confessional statement of co-accused, there is no recovery of illicit liquor from possession of the petitioner and the petitioner has no criminal antecedent, there being no other *prima-facie* material to connect the petitioner with the present case, let the petitioner above named in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of four weeks from today in connection with be released on bail on furnishing of bail bonds of Rs. 25,000/- (twenty five thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional District & Sessions Judge – II – cum Special Judge (Excise Act), Madhubani, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C. i.e.

(i) a condition that the person shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;

(ii) a condition that the person shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

(iii) a condition that the person shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court.

This application stands allowed.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

avin/-rajeev

U		T	
---	--	---	--

Note: The ordersheet duly signed has been attached with the record. However, in view of the present arrangements, during Pandemic period all concerned shall act on the basis of the copy of the order uploaded on the High Court website under the heading 'Judicial Orders Passed During The Pandemic Period'.

