

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.19857 of 2018

=====

Jageshwar Yadav Son of Late Charitar Yadav, Resident of Village- Hasanpur,
P.S.- Sidhwaliya, District- Gopalganj.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Panchayati Raj,
Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate, Gopalganj.
3. The District Development Officer, Gopalganj.
4. The Block Development Officer, Barauli, Gopalganj.
5. The Panchayat Secretary, Hasanpur Panchayat, Block- Barauli, District-
Gopalganj.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ranjan Kumar Srivastava
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Pushkar Narain Shahi- Aag6

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

2 06-01-2020 This petition filed on 04.10.2018 is listed for hearing
for the first time today before the Court.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the respondents.

Petitioner has prayed for the following relief:

“(i) For direction to the respondents to make inquiry
with regard to corruption and siphoning of
Government fund took place in development work
i.e., P.C.C. Road, Nal Jal Yojana under Chief
Minister Vision Scheme in Hasanpur Panchayat,
Block-Barauli, District- Gopalganj.



In this regard, the petitioner and other villagers filed application before the District Magistrate, Gopalganj i.e., respondent no. 2 and the other respondents on 09.07.2018 but up till now no action has been taken by the respondents.

(ii) Any other relief/reliefs to which the petitioner may be found entitled to, in the facts and circumstances of the case.”

After the matter was heard for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner shall be content if a direction is issued to the concerned respondents to consider and decide the representation, which the petitioner shall be making afresh within a period of four weeks from today.

Learned counsel for the State states that upon receipt of such request, if any, the same shall be dealt with in accordance with law, expeditiously and positively within a period of three months from the date of receipt thereof.

Equally, liberty is reserved to the petitioner to take recourse to such alternative remedies as are otherwise available in accordance with law.

We are hopeful that as and when petitioner takes recourse to such remedies, as are otherwise available in law, before the appropriate forum, the same shall be dealt with, in accordance with law and with reasonable dispatch.



The petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

sujit/-

U			
---	--	--	--

