

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18486 of 2018

=====

Vimal Kishor Singh, Son of Late Ram Swarth Singh, Resident of Village-
Jarang Rampur, P.S.- Vaishali, District Vaishali.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Chief Secretary.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Public Health and Engineering,
Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Principal Secretary, Department of Road Construction, Government of
Bihar, Patna.
4. The Principal Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj, Government of
Bihar, Patna.
5. The District Magistrate, Vaishali.
6. The Deputy Development Commissioner, Vaishali.
7. The Block Development Officer, Patedhi, Distirct- Vaishali.
8. The Block Panchayati Raj Officer, Patedhi, District- Vaishali.
9. Mukhiya of Gram Panchayat Jarary Block- Patedhi, District Vaishali.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ravi Ranjan, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Raj Ballabh Prasad Yadav -Aag11

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

2 06-01-2020 The petition filed on 13.09.2018 is listed for hearing
for the first time today before the Court.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the respondents.

Petitioner has prayed for the following relief/s:

“For issuance of an appropriate Writ/Writs,
order/orders, direction/directions to the Respondents



to take appropriate action against erring persons for constructing the road by using sub-standard material which resulted in crack in the Road and there are several pot holes and the thickness of the road is not as per estimate although the road was finally constructed in late 2017 as well as for taking appropriate action against the erring authority/person who is responsible for without sinking the hand pump and misappropriating the huge public money.”

After the matter was heard for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner shall be content if a direction is issued to the concerned respondents to consider and decide the representation, which the petitioner shall be making afresh within a period of four weeks from today.

Learned counsel for the State states that upon receipt of such request, if any, the same shall be dealt with in accordance with law, expeditiously and positively within a period of three months from the date of receipt thereof.

Equally, liberty is reserved to the petitioner to take recourse to such alternative remedies as are otherwise available in accordance with law.

We are hopeful that as and when petitioner takes recourse to such remedies, as are otherwise available in law, before the appropriate forum, the same shall be dealt with, in



accordance with law and with reasonable dispatch.

The petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

uday/-

U			
---	--	--	--

