

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.17597 of 2018

=====

Manoj Kumar Son of Sri Upendra Narayan Patel, Resident of Village, P.O.-
Arwal Sipah, P.S.District- Arwal.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Home Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director General of Police, Bihar, Patna.
4. The Inspector General of Police (STF), Bihar, Patna.
5. The Inspector General of Police (operation), Bihar, Patna.
6. The Inspector General of Police (Central Range), Bihar, Patna.
7. The Deputy Inspector General of Police, Operation Bihar, Patna.
8. The Deputy Inspector General of Police (Central Range), Bihar, Patna.
9. The Divisinal Commissioner, Magadh Range, Gaya.
10. The District Magistrate, Arwal.
11. The Superintendent of Police, Arwal.
12. The Superintendent of Police, (Operation), Arwal.
13. The Deputy Superintendent of Police, Arwal.
14. The Assistant Superintendent of Police, (Operation), Arwal.
15. The S.H.O. Arwal Police Station, Arwal.
16. The S.H.O. Karpi Police Station, Arwal.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Abhinay Raj
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Prabhat Kumar Verma -Aag3

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

2 06-01-2020 The petition filed on 31.08.2018 is listed for hearing
for the first time today before the Court.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the respondents.



Petitioner has prayed for the following relief:

“That instant petition in the shape of Public Interest Litigation is being filed seeking direction upon the respondents to conduct enquiry through independent agency against the atrocities committed by the STF/Police personnel in the township of Arwal under the garb of maintenance of law and order. And/or pass such other order/orders to which petitioner is entitled in the facts and circumstances of the present case.”

After the matter was heard for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner shall be content if a direction is issued to the concerned respondents to consider and decide the representation, which the petitioner shall be making afresh within a period of four weeks from today.

Learned counsel for the State states that upon receipt of such request, if any, the same shall be dealt with in accordance with law, expeditiously and positively within a period of three months from the date of receipt thereof.

Equally, liberty is reserved to the petitioner to take recourse to such alternative remedies as are otherwise available in accordance with law.

We are hopeful that as and when petitioner takes recourse to such remedies, as are otherwise available in law,



before the appropriate forum, the same shall be dealt with, in
accordance with law and with reasonable dispatch.

The petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

BT/-

U			
---	--	--	--

