

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18810 of 2018

=====

Sujit Kumar @ Sujit Kumar Singh, son of Bimal Prasad Singh resident of+
P.O. Chakjamal, P.S. Desari (Sahdai O.P.) Dist. - Vaishali at Hajipur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Social Welfare, Patna.
2. The Director ICDS Department of Social Welfare, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Vaishali at Hajipur.
4. The District Welfare Officer (ICDS) Vaishali at Hajipur.
5. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Mehnar, Vaishali at Hajipur.
6. The Block Development Officer, Sahdai Buzurg, Vaishali at Hajipur.
7. The Child Development Programme Officer Sahdai Buzurg Vaishali at Hajipur.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sabal Kumar Jha, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Gyan Prakash Ojha, GA-7

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

2 06-01-2020 The petition filed on 17.09.2018 is listed for hearing
for the first time today before the Court.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the respondents.

Petitioner has prayed for the following relief/s:

“For a direction to respondent no.4 to enquire the
irregularities at Aanganwari Centre No.71 gram
Chakjama ward no.5 where the huge government
money was illegally withdraw from the bank and not



used for Child Development in connivance with the local people in a forged/fabricated structure of social audit committee and also in term of order dated 5.5.18 passed by the sub-divisional public grievance cell to enquire the entire matter of the formulation District level committee. Any other relief/ reliefs order/s writ/writs, directions/direction for which the petitioner is found to be entitled in the facts and circumstances of this case.”

After the matter was heard for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner shall be content if a direction is issued to the concerned respondents to consider and decide the representation, which the petitioner shall be making afresh within a period of four weeks from today.

Learned counsel for the State states that upon receipt of such request, if any, the same shall be dealt with in accordance with law, expeditiously and positively within a period of three months from the date of receipt thereof.

Equally, liberty is reserved to the petitioner to take recourse to such alternative remedies as are otherwise available in accordance with law.

We are hopeful that as and when petitioner takes recourse to such remedies, as are otherwise available in law, before the appropriate forum, the same shall be dealt with, in



accordance with law and with reasonable dispatch.

The petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

uday/-

U			
---	--	--	--

