

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.17615 of 2020

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-105 Year-2019 Thana- BELDOUR District- Khagaria

1. Jangli Yadav 2. Bechu Yadav 3. Kailash Yadav Petitioner nos. 1 to 3 are sons of Madho Yadav 4. Ratan Kumar Yadav @ Ratan Yadav 5. Batan Yadav Both sons of Late Rabbi Yadav 6. Chandan Yadav Son of Late Chalitar Yadav 7. Govind Kumar Son of Jangli Yadav All are resident of Village - Kanjari, P.S.- Beldaur, District - Khagaria.	 Petitioners
Versus	
The State of Bihar	 Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr.Binod Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party	:	Mr.Arun Kumar Pandey, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY
ORAL ORDER

2 10-07-2020 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the State.

The petitioners are apprehending arrest in connection with
Beldaur P.S. Case No. 105 of 2019 for offences under Sections 448,
504, 506, 385, 386, 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of
the Arms Act.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner
nos. 1, 2 and 3 are above 55 years old and there is land dispute
between the parties.

Considering the age of petitioner nos.1, 2 and 3, namely,
Jangli Yadav, Bechu Yadav and Kailash Yadav as they are above 55



years old, the Court is inclined to grant bail to them. Let petitioner nos. 1, 2 and 3, namely, Jangli Yadav, Bechu Yadav and Kailash Yadav, in the event of arrest or surrender within two months from today, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees twenty five thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Ms. Simmi Kujur, Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Khagaria in connection with Beldaur P.S. Case No. 105 of 2019, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

So far as petitioner nos. 4, 5, 6 and 7, namely, Ratan Kumar Yadav @ Ratan Yadav, Batan Yadav, Chandan Yadav and Govind Kumar are concerned, having regard to the nature of allegations against them, the Court is not inclined to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to them. The application is rejected.

However, in the event the petitioners surrender before the court below and seek regular bail, the court below shall consider and dispose of the same on its own merits on the same day without being prejudiced by the order of rejection of this application.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

BT/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

