

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.8566 of 2020**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-586 Year-2019 Thana- GAYA KOTWALI District- Gaya

Ramanuj Kumar Son of Vijay Yadav @ Vijay Prasad Resident of Village -
Sakir Bigha, P.S.- Belaganj, District - Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sanjeev Kumar
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Rita Verma

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY
ORAL ORDER**

3 04-03-2020 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel appearing on behalf of the State.

Pursuant to the order dated 14.02.2020, Mr. Vikash Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State has handed over copy of the report of chemical examiner to suggest that sample was analyzed and it was containing alcohol. On specific query, Mr. Vikash Kumar admitted that it is only the sample of particular bottle and not the sample from other sealed bottle was taken as sample and sent for chemical examination.

It is now admitted position that sampling was not done with regard to each and every unit bottle. Unlike the offences under the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, the offences under the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act is not quantity based.



The petitioner is languishing in jail as under trial prisoner since 27.12.2019 in connection with Kotwali P.S. Case No. 586 of 2019 for the offences under the provisions of Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act, 2016.

Considering the fact that the offence is not quantity based and the petitioner has remained in custody as under trial prisoner since 27.12.2019, the Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 50,000/- (Fifty Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Special Court of Excise, Gaya, in connection with Kotwali P.S. Case No. 586 of 2019, subject to the condition that on release if the petitioner is found indulged in similar activities, then the court below shall be at liberty to cancel bail bonds of the petitioner.

With the aforesaid, the application stands disposed of.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

Ravi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

