

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15857 of 2018

=====

Poras Kumar Yadav Son of Shivnandan Yadav, resident of Prithvichak, P.S.-
Sangrampur, District- Munger.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Panchayati Raj, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Divisional Commissioner, Munger Division, District- Munger.
5. The Vigilance Commissioner, Government of Bihar, Patna.
6. The District Magistrate, District- Munger.
7. The Deputy Development Commissioner, Munger District- Munger.
8. The Block Development Officer, Sangrampur, District- Munger.
9. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Tarapur, District- Munger.
10. Mukhiya, Didarganj Panchayat, within Block- Sangrampur, District- Munger.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Pranav Kumar Jha
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Pushkar Narain Shahi- Aag6

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

2 06-01-2020 The petition filed on 09.08.2018 is listed for hearing
for the first time today before the Court.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the respondents.

Petitioner has prayed for the following relief:

“For issuance of an appropriate



writ/writs, order/orders in the nature of mandamus for directing and commanding the respondents to protect public money taking action against the Mukhiya of Didarganj Panchayat and their associates in Sangrampur Prakhand within the District Munger as they are indulging in heavy scam in MANREGA scheme, fourteen finance scheme, seven Nishya Programme and also Prime Minister Awas Yojna. The Mukhiya of the Gram Panchayat, Didarganj in collusion with the Panchayat Secretary and local agent defalcated huge public money under the aforesaid schemes which affect the large number of people of the said Gram Panchayat and further for any other appropriate relief or reliefs for which petitioner is found entitled in the facts and circumstances of this case.”

After the matter was heard for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner shall be content if a direction is issued to the concerned respondents to consider and decide the representation, which the petitioner shall be making afresh within a period of four weeks from today.

Learned counsel for the State states that upon receipt of such request, if any, the same shall be dealt with in accordance with law, expeditiously and positively within a period of three months from the date of receipt thereof.



Equally, liberty is reserved to the petitioner to take recourse to such alternative remedies as are otherwise available in accordance with law.

We are hopeful that as and when petitioner takes recourse to such remedies, as are otherwise available in law, before the appropriate forum, the same shall be dealt with, in accordance with law and with reasonable dispatch.

The petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

