

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2882 of 2020

=====

Anil Kumar Singh (male), aged about 53 years, son of Late Gautam Singh resident of Village- Senduar, P.O. Dayalpur, P.S. Janta Bazar, District- Saran at Chapra, presently Pramukh of Block Panchayat Samiti, Lahladpur, District- Saran at Chapra.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Panchayati Raj Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Saran at Chapra, District- Saran at Chapra.
4. The Sub- Divisional Officer, Chapra Sadar, District- Saran at Chapra.
5. The Block Development Officer-cum- Executive Officer, Block Panchayat Samiti, Lahladpur, District- Saran at Chapra.
6. Smt. Babita Devi (female), wife of Sri Bharat Chauhan Presently Up-Pramukh of Block Panchayat Samiti, Lahladpur, P.O. and P.S. Lahladpur, District- Saran at Chapra.
7. Anil Singh (male), son of not known to the petitioner
8. Khedan Ram (male), son of not known to the petitioner
9. Sabita Devi (female), wife of not known to the petitioner
10. Gayatri Devi (female), wife of not known to the petitioner
11. Raushan Kumar, (male), son of not known to the petitioner
12. Gauri Devi (female), wife of not known to the petitioner
13. Gangajal Devi (female), wife of not known to the petitioner
14. Harendra Rai (male), son of not known to the petitioner
15. Seema Devi (female), wife of not known to the petitioner

The Respondents nos. 7 to 15 are the Panchayat Samiti Member of Block Panchayat Samiti, Lahladpur, through the Block Development Officer-cum-Executive Officer, Block Panchayat Samiti, Lahladpur, P.O. and P.S. Lahladpur, District- Saran at Chapra.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. S. B. K. Mangalam, Advocate
For the Private Respondents	:	Mr. Dinu Kumar, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN AMANULLAH
ORAL ORDER



2 26-02-2020 Mr. Shashi Bhushan Kumar Mangalam, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that as the Special Meeting, convening of which was under challenge, has already been held, he may be permitted to withdraw the application.

2. Learned counsel for the State and learned counsel for the private respondents do not object.

3. In view thereof, as prayed for by learned counsel for the petitioner, the application stands disposed off as withdrawn.

(Ahsanuddin Amanullah, J)

Anjani/-

U			
---	--	--	--

