

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.8930 of 2020

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-122 Year-2019 Thana- IMAMGANJ District- Gaya

RAVINDRA PRASAD Son of Jago Mahto Resident of Village - Jhiktiya
Kala, P.S. - Imamganj, District - Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Anil Kumar Saxena
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Pancha Nand Pandit

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH

ORAL ORDER

2 29-02-2020 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned A.P.P. for the State.

This is an application for grant of anticipatory bail
in connection with Imamganj PS case no. 122 of 2019 registered
for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 323, 324, 307,
379, 147, 149, 436, 448 of Indian Penal Code.

The case of the prosecution in brief is that on
20.07.2019 at about 11.30 pm, about 40 miscreants had come at
the house of the informant and had not only broken the house of
the informant but had also set the hut of the informant on fire.
Thereafter, the informant and his family members had arrived
there and objected to the deeds of the accused persons,
whereupon the accused persons including the petitioner had



assaulted the informant and his family members resulting in them sustaining injuries and had also committed loot. There is specific allegation as against the petitioner herein of having hit the informant at his forehead with *lathi* with intention to kill him.

The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent, is having a clean antecedent and the present case arises out of case and counter case. It is further submitted that simple injuries have been inflicted on the person of the injured, hence this is a fit case, in which the petitioner should be granted the privilege of anticipatory bail.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the materials on record, from which it is apparent that a direct allegation has been levelled upon the petitioner of having assaulted the informant on his forehead by *lathi* as also a grave and serious allegation of breaking the house and burning the hut of the informant has been levelled against the petitioner and other accused persons, hence this Court finds that atleast, the present case is not a fit case for grant of anticipatory bail.

Considering the seriousness of the offence and the gravity of allegation levelled against the petitioner herein, I do



not find any merit in the present case, hence the same stands
dismissed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

rinkee/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

