

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.7465 of 2020

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-279 Year-2018 Thana- GUTHANI District- Siwan

Jai Prakash @ Jai Prakash Yadav, Son of Bake Yadav, Resident of Village -
Duha Bihara, P.S.- Sikandarpur, Distt - Balia (U.P.)

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rajiv Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Mohammed Arif, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

2 02-03-2020 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

Petitioner in the present case is seeking anticipatory
bail in connection with Guthani P.S. Case No. 279 of 2018
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 366,
379/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this
case.

Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the prayer for
bail.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case,
wherein the materials available on the record disclose that this
petitioner had taken away the victim lady who was married and



was having two and half years old child, the very conduct of the petitioner leads to this Court to take a view that he does not deserve privilege of anticipatory bail.

Prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is refused.

In case the petitioner surrenders and prays for regular bail in the court below within a period of four weeks from today, his prayer for regular bail shall be considered on its own merit without being prejudiced by the order of this Court.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

vats/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

