

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10316 of 2018

=====

Santosh Kumar Sharma @ Santosh Kumar Singh son of Sri Shambhu Singh
resident of village P.O. - Kab, P.S. - Rani Talab, District - Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union Of India
2. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Rural Development Department, Patna.
3. The Deputy Development Commissioner, Patna, Bihar.
4. The District Magistrate, Patna.
5. The Additional Collector Public Grievance Redressal Officer, Patna-cum-First Appellate Authority,
6. The District Programme Coordinator, Dulhin Bazar, Dist. - Patna.
7. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Paliganj, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Sunil Kumar, Adv.
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.Anjani Kumar- A.A.G. 4
For Union of India		Mr. S.D. Sanjay, Addl.S.G.
		Dr. Punam Kumari Singh, Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

2 06-01-2020 The petition filed on 21.5.2018 is listed for hearing
for the first time today before the Court.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the respondents.

Petitioner has prayed for the following relief/s:

“For issuance of writ in the nature of writ of
mandamus directing and commanding the



respondent authorities to enquire into the matter and take appropriate action against the Mukhiya of Gram Panchayat Raj Kab under Dulhin Bazar Block, District of Patna and concerned officers who committed malpractice in commissioning of the schemes approved under the Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Act (Called in short MANREGA). Fund received for the purpose of the scheme have been misappropriated and pilfered away by the officers concerned and the schemes have not been implemented.”

After the matter was heard for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner shall be content if a direction is issued to the concerned respondents to consider and decide the representation, which the petitioner shall be making afresh within a period of four weeks from today.

Learned counsel for the State states that upon receipt of such request, if any, the same shall be dealt with in accordance with law, expeditiously and positively within a period of three months from the date of receipt thereof.

Equally, liberty is reserved to the petitioner to take recourse to such alternative remedies as are otherwise available in accordance with law.

We are hopeful that as and when petitioner takes recourse to such remedies, as are otherwise available in law,



before the appropriate forum, the same shall be dealt with, in
accordance with law and with reasonable dispatch.

The petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

K.C.Jha/-

U			
---	--	--	--

