

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2387 of 2020

=====

Sangita Kumari @ Sangita devi Wife of Nilam Kumar Ratn Resident of
Village- Shree Bigha, P.s.- Shakurabad, District- Jehanabad

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Director, I.C.D.S., Bihar, Patna
2. The Secretary, Social Welfare Department, Bihar, patna
3. The Commissioner, Gaya Division, Gaya
4. The District Magistrate, Jehanabad
5. The District Programme Officer Jehanabad
6. The Child Development Project Officer, Ratni Faridpur, District- Jehanabad
7. The Lady Supervisor, Child Development Project Office, Ratni, Faridpur, District- Jehanabad
8. The Mukhiya, Gram Panchayat Noawan, Block Ratni Faridpur, District- Jehanabad

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Umesh Kumar
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Gyan Shankar, AC to (Gp2)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

2 25-02-2020 Petitioner's counsel submits that though procedure was conducted for selection of Sevika for Ward No. 14, selection has been made in respect of Ward No. 15. The petitioner has challenged the selection made. In this respect he has raised his grievance before the District Magistrate.

Learned State Counsel submits hat the guidelines for selection of Sevika as amended with effect from 27.5.2019 mandates remedy before respondent No. 6, Child Development Project Officer, Ratni Faridpur, in the district of Jehanabad. The petitioner in stead of raising his grievance before the District Magistrate ought to have approached the Child Development Project Officer.

In this connection, petitioner's Counsel submits that now his remedy before respondent No. 6 may be barred by delay.



This Court considering the rival submission would observe that since the issue of wrong forum availed by the petitioner has been raised by the State Counsel, interest of justice would be served if the petitioner is permitted to raise his grievance before respondent No. 6. If the same is done within four (4) weeks, the same shall be considered on its merit without raising the issue of delay, after hearing the parties concerned.

The writ application stands disposed of.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

SNkumar/-

U			
---	--	--	--

