

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.8008 of 2020

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-27 Year-2019 Thana- SANHAULA District- Bhagalpur

RAJ KUMAR, S/o Ajablal Paswan, Resident of Village and Post- Losdhani,
P.S.- Piri Bazar, Distt- Lakhisarai. Former Health Trainer, Primary Health
Center, Sanhaula.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Arvind Kumar Sinha

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ajay Kumar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 29-02-2020 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offence punishable under Section 406, 409 and
34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Allegation against petitioner including all FIR named
accused persons is of misappropriation of Rs. 84,726/- for the
year 2008-09 and Rs. 1,00000/- for the year 2009-10 total comes
to Rs. 1,84,726/- of United Fund.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that
he is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case.
Similarly placed co-accused has been granted anticipatory bail
by this court vide order dated 28.02.2020 passed in Cr. Misc.



No. 7833 of 2020. Petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner named above be released on anticipatory bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 20,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned court below where the case is pending in connection with Sanhaura P.S. Case No. 27 of 2019, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C with following conditions:-

(1) Bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned.

(2) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and his absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will be sufficient to cancel his bail bond.

(3) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(S. Kumar, J)

Rajiv/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

