

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
(FROM RESIDENTIAL OFFICE VIA VIDEO APPLICATION)
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.5970 of 2020**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-220 Year-2019 Thana- PIYAR District- Muzaffarpur

MANOJ KUMAR SINGH Son of Ram Lakhan Singh Resident of Village -
Tepari, P.S.- Piar (Hattha O.P.), Distt - Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Chandra Shekhar Anand, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Anil Kumar Singh No. 1, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER**

2 07-07-2020 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

Petitioner, in the present case, is seeking anticipatory
bail in connection with Piar (Hattha O.P.) P.S. Case No. 220/2019
registered for the offences under Sections 341, 323, 324, 307, 354,
506, 379/34 of the Indian Penal Code, pending in the court of Sri
P.K. Mahtha, learned J.M. 1st Class, Muzaffarpur.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and has not
committed any offence as alleged. Learned counsel submits that
both sides are pattidars and due to land dispute the occurrence has
been took place, however petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

Learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer for
anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case



wherein it appears from the First Information Report that there is a specific allegation against the petitioner of causing assault by an iron rod on the head of the informant causing grievous injury and learned Additional Sessions Judge while rejecting the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner has recorded that from perusal of the injury report he has found one stitched wound on the head of the informant allegedly caused by this petitioner, in the nature of the allegation and the gravity of the offence alleged, I am not inclined to grant privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is, thus, refused.

In case, the petitioner surrenders and prays for regular bail in the court below within a period of four weeks from today, his prayer for regular bail shall be considered on it's own merit without being prejudiced by the orders of this Court.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

Rajeev/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

Note: The ordersheet duly signed has been attached with the record. However, in view of the present arrangements, during Pandemic period all concerned shall act on the basis of the copy of the order uploaded on the High Court website under the heading 'Judicial Orders Passed During The Pandemic Period'.

