

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.6517 of 2020

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-87 Year-2019 Thana- TANKUPPA District- Gaya

MD. PRAVEZ @ SUHAIL PARVEZ @ SAHIN PERWEZ S/o Md. Manjir
R/o village- Gewal Bigha Paharitar, P.S.- Rampur, District- Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Navin Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Dr. Ajeet Kumar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 24-06-2020 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 302, 201/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Allegation against the petitioner is of killing the son of Informant along with FIR named accused though he is not named in the FIR.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that he is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case on the basis of suspicion only. Petitioner is not named in the FIR. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the name of the petitioner has surfaced in this case on the basis of confessional statement of co-accused. Petitioner has got no criminal antecedent.



Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner named above be released on anticipatory bail in the event of his/her arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of four weeks from today upon furnishing bail bond of Rs. 20,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned court below where the case is pending, in connection with **Tankuppa P.S. Case No. 87 of 2019**, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C:-

(1) Bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned.

(2) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and his/her absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his/her bail bond shall be cancelled by the court below.

(3) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(S. Kumar, J)

ranjan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

