

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 4012 of 2020

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-111 Year-2019 Thana- MUFFASIL District- West Champaran

Ijhar Ahmed aged about 35 years, Male, Son of S.K. Hakdar Resident of
Village- Chand Barwa, P.S.- Sathi, District- West Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Bimlesh Kumar Pandey
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Vinod Shanker Modi

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2. 22-01-2020 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner seeks bail in Bettiah Muffasil
(Manuapool) P.S. Case No. 111 of 2019 registered for the
offence under Section 392 of the Indian Penal Code.

It was submitted on behalf of petitioner that
petitioner's name has come in the confession of co-accused
Nanhe and except the confessional statement, no incriminating
article has been recovered from the petitioner. It was further
submitted that till date, petitioner has not been put on T.I.
Parade. Two other similarly situated accused persons have
already been granted bail by this Court, vide order dated
18-10-2019 passed in Cr.Misc. No. 62746 of 2019 and order
dated 25-10-2019 passed in Cr.Misc. No. 66489 of 2019. It was
also submitted that in this case, chargesheet has already been



submitted and as such, there is no chance of tampering with the evidence. Petitioner is in custody since 16-06-2019.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances as well as the fact that other two similarly situated persons have already been granted bail, let the above named petitioner be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned C.J.M. Bettiah, West Champaran in connection with Bettiah Muffasil (Manuapool) P.S. Case No. 111 of 2019 on the following conditions:

“(1) Petitioner shall cooperate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present, as directed by the Court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail-bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(2) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.”

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J.)

anay

U		T	
---	--	---	--

