

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2126 of 2020

-
1. M/s Chanakya Ashok and Co., 502/409/208, Hemplaza Fraser Road, Near Dak Bungalow Chowraha, Patna-800001. Through its Partner Ashok Kumar Pandey, aged about 46 years, Male, Son of Shri Raj Mangal Pandey, 603, Banshi Apartment, R.K. Bhattacharya Road, P.O.-G.P.O., Patna-800001.
 2. M/s R N Mishra and Co. 507, Verma Centre, Boring Road Crossing, P.O.-G.P.O., Patna-800001. Through its Partner Raj Nath Mishra, aged about 69 years, Male, Son of Late Mukti Deo Mishra, Vatika Vihar, Ambedkar Path, Bailey Road, Patna-800014.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Panchayati Raj Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate cum Collector, Patna.
3. The Deputy Development Commissioner, Patna.
4. The District Panchayati Raj Officer, Patna.
5. M/s U.S. Prasad and Co., 34, 4th Floor, Kavi Raman Path, Nageshwar Colony, Boring Road, Patna-800001. Through its Partner Shri Uday Shankar Prasad.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Raju Prasad
For the Respondent/s : Mr. P.N.Shahi (AAG6)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR
ORAL JUDGMENT
Date : 31-01-2020

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the State.

The petitioners along with two other auditing firms / agencies were selected for auditing the account for the financial years 2015-2016, 2016-2017 and 2017-2018.



A decision was taken at the governmental level for adopting a particular procedure for giving extension of time to such enlisted auditing firms. After due deliberation, extension has been granted to one firm only whereas no specific decision appears to have been taken with respect to the petitioners, who are the two other enlisted firms.

The representation of the petitioners before the respondent authorities have till now gone unheeded. Petitioners do not know whether they have been given any extension of time to continue with the work or their engagement has been terminated.

It appears to be only logical that the representation of the petitioners be disposed off and petitioners be made known whether they have to continue with their work with the extension of time or they should pack-up their establishment.

Under the aforesaid circumstances, this Court directs that in case a fresh representation is made by the petitioners before the concerned respondent, the same



shall be looked into and a reasoned order shall be passed, which shall be intimated to the petitioners forthwith. Such an order be passed within a period of two weeks of the representation of the petitioners which shall be filed within a period of one week from today.

With the aforesaid observation / direction, this petition stands disposed off.

(Ashutosh Kumar, J)

skm/-

AFR/NAFR	N.A.F.R.
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	04.02.2020
Transmission Date	

