

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1552 of 2017**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-16 Year-2017 Thana- DARIHAT District- Rohtas

=====

Manorma Pandey @ Manorma Devi wife of Bhuwaneshwar Pandey, resident of Mohalla- Chotka Mor, P.S.- Sasaram Mufassil, District- Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar Through Secretary Department Of Home, Government Of Bihar, Patna
2. The Director General of Police, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Inspector General of Police, Bihar, Patna.
4. The Deputy Inspector General of Police Sahabad Division, Rohtas.
5. The Superintendent of Police, Sasaram, Rohtas.
6. The Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sasaram, Rohtas.
7. The Officer of In-charge Darihat Police Station, Sasaram.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

with

**Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 1113 of 2017**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-16 Year-2017 Thana- DARIHAT District- Rohtas

=====

Ritesh Kumar Rai @ Ritesh Kumar @ Ritesh Kumar Pandey son of Late Rajeshwar Pandey @ Maulvi Pandey, Permanent resident of Village- Chotka More, P.S.- Sasaram Muffasil, Dist- Rohtas. at present resident of Quarter No.- N/13, Dalmiyanagar Dehri Dist- Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Principal Secretary, Deptt. of Home, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director General of Police, Old Secretariat, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate, Rohtas.
5. The Superintendent of Police, Rohtas.
6. The Dy. Superintendent of Police, Dehri, Rohtas.
7. The Officer in-charge S.H.O., Darihat, P.S.- Rohtas.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

(In Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 1552 of 2017)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Binod Kumar

For the Respondent/s : Mr.



(In Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 1113 of 2017)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Kumar Praveen

For the Respondent/s : Mr.Sheo Shankar Prasad Sc-8

---

---

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH**  
**ORAL ORDER**

3      09-01-2020                      The learned counsel for the petitioners has informed  
  
this Court that now the trial has begun, hence, nothing survives  
  
in the present cases.

This Court finds that since the trial has already begun,  
it would be appropriate for the petitioners to approach the  
learned trial court for redressal of their subsisting grievance, if  
any. The learned trial court is directed to expedite the trial.

The writ petitions stand disposed of with the aforesaid  
observations.

**(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)**

Tiwary/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

