

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.4141 of 2020

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-66 Year-2017 Thana- MAHILA P.S. District- Kaimur (Bhabua)

SUGRIV BIND Son of Sukalu Bind Resident of Village - Taraon, P.S. -
Belaon, District - Kaimur at Bhabua.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rajani Kant Pandey

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Abhay Kumar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

5 08-09-2020 The present petition has been taken up for consideration through the mode of Video conferencing in view of the prevailing situation on account of COVID 19 Pandemic, requiring social distancing.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and Shri Abhay Kumar, learned A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Mahila (Bhabua) P.S. Case No. 66 of 2017 for the offence registered under Sections 498-A, 354/ 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

The allegation levelled by the prosecution is regarding the accused persons including the petitioner herein, who is stated to be the father-in-law of the informant, having engaged in inflicting cruelty upon the informant and torturing her on



account of non-fulfillment of the demand of dowry. As far as the petitioner is concerned, he is alleged to have attempted to establish sexual relationship with the informant forcibly and when the informant had complained to her husband, she was ousted from the matrimonial home.

The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent, he has been falsely implicated in the present case and is having a clean antecedent.

Per contra, the learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail.

Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner and taking into account the materials available in the case diary, prima facie the complicity of the petitioner in the alleged offence is definitely made out and at least the present case is not a case for grant of anticipatory bail, hence the present petition stands dismissed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

S.Sb/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

