

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.188 of 2020

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-542 Year-2019 Thana- PATLIPUTRA District- Patna

DEEPAK KUMAR Son of Sujit Kumar @ Murari Yadav Resident of Village -
Sarkuna, P.S.- Dulhin Bazar, Distt - Patna.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through IT Secretary, Govt. Of Bihar, Patna
2. The Director General of Police Old Secretariat, Patna
3. The Inspector General of Police, Patna.
4. The Deputy Inspector General of Police, Patna.
5. The Superintendent of Police, Patna.
6. The Deputy Superintendent of Police, Patna.
7. The Officer in Charge of Patliputra Police Station, District - Patna.
8. The District Magistrate, Patna.
9. Uttam Kumar Son of Late Vishundayal Singh Resident of Village - Rajapur
Mainpura, P.S.- Patliputra, Distt - Patna.

... .. Respondents

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr.Vibhakar Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondents : Mr.Sheo Shankar Prasad

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

2 18-02-2020 The petitioner in the present case is seeking quashing
of the first information report giving rise to Patliputra P.S. Case
No. 542 of 2019 registered under Section 365 of the Indian
Penal Code.

It is the case of the petitioner that respondent no. 9 has
lodged a false case against him and that she is pressurizing the
petitioner to marry his daughter.

Perusal of the first information report as contained in
Annexure '1' shows that there is an allegation that this petitioner



has taken away the daughter of the informant after giving her a call on her mobile number stated in the FIR.

The case has been registered only on 24.11.2019 and at this stage sitting in its writ jurisdiction, this Court would not take upon itself to record a finding on the basis of the submission of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the State has submitted that the matter is still under investigation and it is open for the petitioner to bring all such materials which are available to him to the notice of the investigating officer.

The submission made on behalf of the State is a reasonable submission and this Court is also of the considered opinion at this stage that in case the petitioner wants to bring any material to the notice of the Investigating Officer, he is free to participate in course of investigation and assist the Investigating Officer in reaching to a proper conclusion.

This application stands disposed of accordingly.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

SUSHMA2/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

