

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.867 of 2017

Arising Out of PS. Case No.- Year-1111 Thana- District-

=====

Ranjeet Pandey @ Ranjeet Kumar son of Indubhushan Pandey, R/o vill.
Bakhari, P.S.Patahi, Dist. East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through its Principal Secretary, Home Department,
Government Of Bihar, Patna .
2. The Director General of Police, Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Officer, East Champaran at Motihari
4. The Superintendent of Police, East Champaran at Motihari
5. The Deputy Superintendent of Police Pakarideyal, East Champaran.
6. The Station House Officer, Patahi P.S. East Champaran.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None.
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Ajay Kumar AC to GP-4

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

2 10-01-2020 The instant writ petition has been filed under Articles 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India whereby the writ petitioner has complaint to the District Magistrate, Motihari, that he is being intimidated and demands are being made to extort some money from him by certain police officials for facilitating verification in respect of petitioner's application for issuance of Arms License. Till issuance of Arms License, he has prayed that order should be issued for protection of his life and property.

Learned counsel for the State, referring to the order dated 08.08.2017 issued by the Sub Divisional Magistrate, Pakridayal, submits that the petitioner's application for the Arms License



has been rejected since the petitioner has been found to be a member of antisocial and illegal organization. Since the petitioner's application for Arms License has already been rejected, it has been submitted that in respect of his such rejection, the petitioner should now avail of his remedy in accordance with law and that the points raised in the instant writ petition do not survive any more.

No rejoinder has been filed controverting the stand of the State taken in the counter affidavit.

When the matter is called out, none appears on behalf of the petitioner.

Since none appears on behalf of the petitioner without going into the merits of the submissions advanced by the State Counsel, the writ petition is dismissed for want of prosecution.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

shyambihari/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

