

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.4255 of 2020

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-317 Year-2018 Thana- GOPALGANJ TOWN District-
Gopalganj

=====

DEEPAK KUMAR Son of Late Anil Rawat Resident of Bangla Asthan, Near
Asha Devi X-mayor, Pahasi, P.S.- Kotwali, Distt - Gaya, the then officer
(mentioned in the Complaint Petition Accountant), Canara Bank, Yadopur,
Road, Gopalganj, at present posted as Regional Office, Canara Bank, New
Delhi.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Amit Kumar Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Shyam Kumar Singh

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

2 29-06-2020 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the

learned A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Gopalganj Town P.S. Case No. 317 of 2018 for the
offence punishable under Sections 409, 420, 467, 468, 471,
120(B)/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner
submits that the petitioner is innocent and has not
committed any offence. In fact, the co-accused having more
or less similar allegation has already been granted bail by a
co-ordinate Bench of this Court in Cr. Misc. No. 34021 of
2019 and the case of this petitioner stands on similar



footing. Hence, the petitioner may be granted the privilege of anticipatory bail.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, let the, above named, petitioner in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of four weeks be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees twenty five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned C.J.M., Gopalganj in connection with Gopalganj Town P.S. Case No. 317 of 2018 subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C and further conditions is that one of the bailors of the petitioner shall be a local person having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned and further condition is that petitioner will not prevent the opposite party No. 2 from withdrawing the amount from his account.

(Arvind Srivastava, J)

brajesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

