

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1290 of 2020

1. Mukesh Prakash Son of Chandra Bhushan Prakash, Resident of Village and P.O.-Mai (Hilsa), P.S.-Hilsa, District- Nalanda, Bihar Pin-801302.
2. Alok Raj Son of Yogendra Das, Resident of -57, Road No.-11, Ashok Nagar, P.S. Kankarbagh Colony, District-Patna, Bihar.
3. Pankaj Kumar Son of Ram Pyare Singh Resident of B.H.Colony, Sector-6, Block-3, Room No.-155, Bhootnath Road, P.S.-Agam Kuan, District-Patna-26,Bihar.
4. Nitish Kumar Son of Jagdish Yadav Resident of Village-Kaloi, P.O.-Bedauli, P.S.-Imamganj, District Gaya, Bihar, Pin-824210.
5. Samar Nath Roy, Son of Khudneshwar Roy, Resident of Village-Harsinghpur Tara, P.O.-Bhagwatpur, P.S.-Sarairanjan, District- Samastipur, Bihar, Pin-848127.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Old Secretariat, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, General Administration Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Chairman, Bihar Public Service Commission, 15, Jawahar Lal Nehru Marg (Bailey Road), Patna-1.
4. The Joint Secretary-Cum-Examination Controller, Bihar Public Service Commission, 15, Jawahar Lal Nehru Marg (Bailey Road,), Patna-1.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Manish Kumar, Advt.
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.Sheo Shankar Prasad (Sc8)
For the B.P.S.C.	:	Mr. P.N. Shahi, Sr. Adv. With Mr. Sanjay Pandey and Mr. Nishant Kr. Jha, Advocates.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

2 13-02-2020 Heard learned counsel for petitioners, learned counsel for the Respondent-State as well as learned counsel for the Bihar Public Service Commission (for short ‘Commission’).

The petitioners were applicant for examination conducted by the respondent-Commission for selection of Assistants. It is



their complaint that having participated in the selection process the Commission has cancelled the entire selection process without reasons.

From the pleading on record and based on submissions of petitioners' counsel, the admitted position is that thereafter selection process was conducted afresh, in which, petitioner has participated. It is the submissions of the petitioners' counsel that the exam for the selection process was conducted afresh on 01.02.2020 and that results are being published today. The petitioners have participated in the subsequent selection process. Result also has been declared. The subsequent events, therefore, leave no room for issuance of any direction by this Court while exercising jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, more so in view of the petitioners' participation in the subsequent process.

The writ petition is dismissed

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

shyambihari/-

U			
---	--	--	--

