

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.20749 of 2018

=====

Wahidul Hassan Ansari S/o Late Md. Zakira, C/o Matloob Alam, Resident of
House No.43, Patliputra Colony, Patna- 800013.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Principal Secretary, Rural Development Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Principal Secretary, Finance Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
5. The Additional Finance Commissioner, Finance Claim Fixation Cell, Government of Bihar, Patna.
6. The Deputy Secretary, Rural Development Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
7. The In-charge Officer, Finance Claim Fixation Cell, Finance Department, Birchand Patel Path, Patna-
8. The Project Director, Rural Development Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
9. The State of Jharkhand through its Chief Secretary, Ranchi.
10. The Principal Secretary, Rural Development Department, Government of Jharkhand, Ranchi.
11. The Director, State Rural Development Department Institute (SIRD), Erstwhile Bihar Institute of Rural Development, (BIRD), Hehal, Ranchi, Jharkhand.
12. The Director, Community Development and Panchayati Raj Training Institute, Hehal, Ranchi- 834005.
13. The Director, Provident Fund, State of Jharkhand, Ranchi.
14. The District Provident Fund Officer, Ranchi.
15. The Accountant General A and E, Bihar, Birchand Patel Path, Patna- 800001.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Ms. Roona, Adv
For the State	:	Mr. Vikash Kumar, SC 11
For the Accountant General	:	Mr. Shiv Kumar, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN
ORAL JUDGMENT
Date : 19-06-2020

This matter has been taken up for hearing through



video conference.

2. The following reliefs as formulated by the petitioner have been claimed in the writ petition-

"i) For a direction upon the respondents to grant pension and terminal dues i.e. gratuity, group insurance, leave salary, part of provident fund etc. with interest @ 10% from the due date of payment of pension;

ii) For a direction upon the respondents to fix last salary of the petitioner for the purposes of payment of pension and revised pension;

iii) For any other order/orders for which the petitioner is found entitled to in the facts and circumstances of the case."

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that all the retiral dues have already been received by the petitioner, however, the issue relating to pay scale remains to be addressed. Accordingly, permission is sought to withdraw the writ petition with liberty to represent before the concerned authority with regard to claim for proper pay scale.

4. Learned counsel for the State as well as for the Accountant General are present.

5. Having regard to the stand of the petitioner, permission is accorded. The writ petition stands dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to the petitioner to represent



before the concerned authority for redressal of his grievance with respect to proper pay scale.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Chandran/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	-
Uploading Date	20.06.2020
Transmission Date	-

