

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.1836 of 2020

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-53 Year-2019 Thana- PURUSHOTTAMPUR District- West
Champaran

=====

MUNNA ALAM @ MD. ANWAR Son of Late Idrish Miya Resident of
Village- Sathi Bazar, P.S.- Sathi, District- West Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Bimlesh Kumar Pandey
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Rita Verma

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 14-01-2020 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

Petitioner, who is in custody seeks bail in a case
registered under Section 392 of the Indian Penal Code.

Informant has alleged that while he was returning on
his motorcycle, he was intercepted by four persons on two
motorcycles who on strength of arms looted Rs. 2,50,000/- cash
and fled away.

It has been submitted on behalf of petitioner
that FIR is against unknown and his name has surfaced
in this case on the basis of his own confessional statement and
confession made by other co-accused. Nothing
incriminating article has been recovered from his
possession. Petitioner has not been put on TIP and



charge sheet has already been submitted. Petitioner is in custody since 03.10.2019.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner named above be released on bail upon furnishing bail bond of Rs. 20,000/- (twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned court below, in connection with Purushottampur P.S. Case No. 53 of 2019 subject to the conditions that:-

(1) Bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned.

(2) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and his absence on two consecutive dates without proper and sufficient reason the trial court will be at liberty to cancel his bail bond.

(3) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(S. Kumar, J)

veena/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

