

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.1368 of 2020

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-116 Year-2019 Thana- SASARAM NAGAR District- Rohtas

BINOD KUMAR SINGH @ BINOD KUMAR S/O- Late Baijnath Singh @
Baidynath Singh R/O Mohalla - Nooranganj, Sasaram, P.S. - Sasaram (T),
District - Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Uma Shankar Singh
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ram Bilash Roy Raman

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 20-01-2020 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

Petitioner, who is in custody seeks bail in a case
registered under Sections 406, 420, 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Allegation against the petitioner is that he had taken
Rs. 5,80,000/- from the informant on the assurance of providing
job and when the informant could not get job he demanded the
money back from the petitioner but he refused to give said
money.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that
he is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. It has
been further submitted that informant has himself stated that he
gave money for getting job by unlawful means, which itself
shows that it was illegal act for which no action is maintainable.



Petitioner has no criminal antecedent and is in custody since 05.08.2019.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner named above be released on bail upon furnishing bail bond of Rs. 20,000/- (twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned court below, in connection with Sasaram (T) P.S. Case No. 116 of 2019 subject to the conditions that:-

(1) Bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned.

(2) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and his absence on two consecutive dates without proper and sufficient reason the trial court will be at liberty to cancel his bail bond.

(3) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at



liberty to move for cancellation of bail of
the petitioner.

(S. Kumar, J)

veena/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

