

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16795 of 2018

=====

Priyanka Kumari @ Kumari Priyanka, wife of Chandan Kumar, resident of
Ward No. 8, Chitahan, Gamhariya, East Champaran, Bihar -845421

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Social Welfare Department,
Government of Bihar, Patna
2. Director, Integrated Child Development Services (ICDS) Directorate, Bihar,
Patna.
3. District Magistrate, East Champaran at Motihari.
4. Block Development Officer- cum-Child Development Officer, Banjaria, East
Champaran.
5. District Programme Officer, Integrated Child Development Services (ICDS),
Motihari.
6. Child Development and Project Officer (CDPO), Banjaria, East Champaran.
7. Kishori Jaiswal, Anganbari Sevika, Centre No. 81 Panchayat, Panchrukha
East, East Champaran.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ajay Kumar Singh
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Gyan Prakash Ojha -Ga7

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

2 06-01-2020 The petition filed on 23.08.2018 is listed for hearing
for the first time today before the Court.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the respondents.

Petitioner has prayed for the following relief:

“For issuance of appropriate writ(s) /order (s) /
direction (s) for commanding the respondents



concerned to restrict the Misappropriation of Public Money in the Project running under the integrated Child Development Services in Bihar under which, after end of term of Anganbari Sevika, the Anganbari Centre is running without following the guidelines of the Integrated Child Development Services Directorate and further directed the Respondents authority to run the project in proper prospective. ”

After the matter was heard for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner shall be content if a direction is issued to the concerned respondents to consider and decide the representation, which the petitioner shall be making afresh within a period of four weeks from today.

Learned counsel for the State states that upon receipt of such request, if any, the same shall be dealt with in accordance with law, expeditiously and positively within a period of three months from the date of receipt thereof.

Equally, liberty is reserved to the petitioner to take recourse to such alternative remedies as are otherwise available in accordance with law.

We are hopeful that as and when petitioner takes recourse to such remedies, as are otherwise available in law, before the appropriate forum, the same shall be dealt with, in accordance with law and with reasonable dispatch.



The petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

BT/-

U			
---	--	--	--

