

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.1335 of 2020

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-36 Year-2019 Thana- SIMULTALLA District- Jamui

1. Vishu Yadav Son of Late Puran Yadav
2. Raju Yadav Son of Late Puran Yadav
3. Waso Yadav Son of Late Puran Yadav
4. Suresh Yadav Son of Waso Yadav
All Resident of Village - Gauribahiyan, P.S.- Simuitala, District- Jamui
5. Parmod Mahto @ Pramod Kumar @ Gorelal Mahto @ Gorelal Mandal Son
of Thakur Mahto
6. Bhairo Mahto Son of Late Faudi Mahto
7. Thakur Mahto Son of Late Faudi Mahto
8. Umesh Mahto @ Umesh Kushwaha Son of Late Faudi Mahto
9. Santosh Mahto Son of Late Faudi Mahto
10. Vinod Mahto Son of Thakur Mahto
11. Pradeep Mahto @ Pradeep Kumar Son of Bhairo Mahto
12. Vikram Mahto @ Vikram Kumar Son of Bhairo Mahto
13. Uchit Mahto @ Uchit Kumar Son of Santosh Mahto
14. Tripurari Mahto @ Tripurari Kumar Son of Umesh Mahto
All Resident of Village - Gadi Telwa, P.S.- Simutalla, District- Jamui

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Pankaj Kumar Sinha

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ram Anurag Singh

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HEMANT KUMAR
SRIVASTAVA**

ORAL ORDER

2 25-02-2020 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as
learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

Petitioners apprehend their arrest in connection with
Simultalla P.S. Case No. 36/2019 registered for the offences
punishable under Sections 147,148, 149,341, 377, 307, 379, 504
and 506/34 of the Indian Penal Code and 27 of the Arms Act.



Petitioners are named in the first information report with specific allegation that they participated in assaulting the informant and others. The impugned order goes to show that altogether six persons sustained injuries and some of the injured received grievous injuries. However, it is informed that there is counter case and the some persons of the side of the petitioners also sustained grievous injuries.

Regard being had to the above stated facts as well as submissions of the parties, I am not inclined to grant privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioners and, accordingly, their prayer for anticipatory bail stands rejected.

However, petitioners are directed to surrender before the court below within six weeks from today and if they do so and seek regular bail, the concerned court shall consider the regular bail petition of the petitioners on its own merit without being prejudiced by this order, particularly, keeping in mind that a counter case has been lodged against the prosecution party and some persons of the side of the petitioners had also sustained injuries in the alleged occurrence.

(Hemant Kumar Srivastava, J)

Spd/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

