

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3306 of 2020

-
-
1. Chandrakala Kumari, D/o Chitranjan Prasad Yadav, resident of village- Badhi Bhawanipur, P.S.- Pipra, District- Supaul.
 2. Mamta Kumari, wife of Rajesh Kumar, resident of village- Ranipatti Sukshasan, P.S.- Kumar Khand, District- Madhepura.
 3. Chandrabhushan Kumar, son of Narayan Prasad Yadav, resident of village - Kolhua, P.S.- Shankarpur, District- Madhepura.
 4. Prasoon Chandra Kushwaha, son of Ramchandra Mehta, resident of village - Chausar, P.S. and District- Madhepura.
 5. Ribha Kumari, D/o Bishundeo Prasad Yadav, resident of village - Rajpur, P.S.- Pipra, District- Supaul.
 6. Sanjana Kumari, wife of Ashok Sardar, resident of village - Nisiharur, P.s. Shankarpur, District- Madhepura.
 7. Sunil Kumar, son of Harinarayan Yadav, resident of village - Kolhua, P.S. Shankarpur, District- Madhepura.
 8. Naresh Kumar, son of Jagdish Yadav, resident of village and P.O.- Kolhua, P.s.- Shankarpur, District- Madhepura.
 9. Pushpa Kumari, D/o Kuldeep Narayan Yadav, resident of village - Rajpur, P.S.- Pipra, District- Supaul.
 10. Manoj Kumar, son of Kailu Sardar, resident of village- Sripur, P.S.- Triveniganj, District- Supaul.
 11. Rambha Kumari, D/o Amod Yadav, resident of village- Parsa, P.S.- Shankarpur, District- Madhepura.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Araria.
4. The District Education Officer, Araria.
5. The District Programme Officer (Establishment), Araria.
6. The Block Development Officer, Bhargama, District- Araria.
7. The Block Education Officer, Bhargama, District- Araria.
8. The Mukhia, Gram panchayat Raj, Sirsiya Kala, Bhargama, District- Araria.
9. The Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat Raj, Sirsiya Kala, Bhargama, District- Araria.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Pramod Mishra
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Jitendra Kumar Roy1 (Sc13)



=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY
ORAL ORDER

2 24-02-2020 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and the respondents.

The petitioners has approached this Court against the decision the District Teachers Employment Appellate Authority.

Admittedly, there is a provision for appeal against the decision of the District Teachers Employment Appellate Authority before the State Appellate Authority.

In view of the alternative efficacious remedy in the nature of appeal before the State Appellate Authority, the present writ application is disposed of to file appeal before the State Appellate Authority.

In the event, the petitioners file appeal along with a copy of this order within one month from today, the State Appellate Authority will decide the same on its own merit after condoning the delay, if any, in filing the appeal.

With the aforesaid, the writ petition stands disposed of.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

uday/-

U			
---	--	--	--

