

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.2240 of 2020

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-43 Year-2019 Thana- ADHAOURA District- Kaimur (Bhabua)

NITISH YADAV Son of Lalan Yadav @ Lalan Singh Resident of Village -
Kolhuwa, P.S.- Adhaura, Distt - Kaimur at Bhabua.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rajani Kant Pandey
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ganesh Prasad Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 16-01-2020 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

Petitioner, who is in custody seeks bail in a case
registered under Sections 376, 341, 379,34 of the Indian Penal
Code.

Informant has alleged in her written complaint that
while she was returning alongwith her husband, three
miscreants sitting on one motorcycle took her forcibly and
snatched away Rs. 10,000/- and golden top and Vikash Yadav
misbehaved with her and on raising her alarm villagers
assembled there and caught hold of petitioner and other accused
whereas accused Lalu Yadav managed to escape.

It has been submitted on behalf of petitioner that he
has been falsely implicated in this case due to animosity and



village rivalry. The allegations are false and concocted. The informant has not taken the name of petitioner in her statement recorded under Section 164 of Cr.P.C. Petitioner has no criminal antecedent and is in custody since 09.09.2019.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner named above be released on bail upon furnishing bail bond of Rs. 20,000/- (twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned court below, in connection with Adhaura P.S. Case No. 43 of 2019 subject to the conditions that:-

(1) Bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned.

(2) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and his absence on two consecutive dates without proper and sufficient reason the trial court will be at liberty to cancel his bail bond.



(3) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(S. Kumar, J)

veena/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

