

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2609 of 2020

=====

Vinay Kumar, S/o Baleshwar Prasad, resident of village- Kutubpur, P.s.- Bidupur, District- Vaishali.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Education Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal, Secretary Education Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Secondary Education Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Regional Deputy Director of Education, Saran, (Chhapra).
5. The District Education Officer, Saran (Chhapra), District- Saran.
6. The District Programme Officer (Establishment) Saran (Chhapra) District- Saran.
7. The Deputy Development Commissioner-cum-Chief Executive Officer cum Member Secretary, District Board Higher Secondary Teacher Employment (2012) Saran (Chhapra), District- Saran.
8. The Chairman, District Board Saran (Chhapra), District- Saran.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Shashi Bhushan Singh
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Amit Bhushan (Gp17)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY
ORAL ORDER

2 20-02-2020 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the
counsel appearing on behalf of the State.

The matter involved in the present writ application is
no more res integra.

Similar issue was considered by this Court in
C.W.J.C. No. 20607 of 2019 vide order dated 15.10.2019.

In order to maintain consistency, the writ petition is
disposed of with similar terms of C.W.J.C. No. 20607 of 2019.



The relevant part of the order is quoted below for ready reference:-

“The issue raised in the present writ application is legality and validity of the B.Ed. degree obtained from Jammu & Kashmir. The issue was set at rest by the Apex Court in SLA (C) No. 139 of 2015 vide judgment dated 18.01.2019.

In view of the judgment of the Apex Court, the respondents have also issued follow up notification recognizing the B.Ed degree obtained from the State of Jammu & Kashmir.

In view of the above, the writ petition is allowed. The respondents are directed to reconsider the case of the petitioners in the light of the decision of the Apex Court in SLA (C) No. 139 of 2015 and grant all consequential benefits at the earliest, preferably within a period of sixty days from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

With the aforesaid, the writ application stands disposed of.”

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

