

\$~63

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 11226/2015**

**RAJAN SHARMA & ORS.**

..... Petitioners

Through: Ms. Tejaswini Verma, Advocate for  
Mr. Sukhbir Sejwal, Advocate.

versus

**UNION OF INDIA & ANR.**

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Yeeshu Jain, Advocate with Ms.  
Jyoti Tyagi, Advocate for L&B/LAC.

**CORAM:**

**JUSTICE S.MURALIDHAR**

**JUSTICE SANJEEV NARULA**

**ORDER**

%

**12.02.2019**

1. The prayers in this petition reads as under:

“It is, therefore, respectfully prayed that this Hon Court may be pleased to issue a writ / order / direction in the nature of certiorari calling for the records of the acquisition proceedings with respect to the lands comprised in khasra No. 86/2(3-12), 87(1-10), 88(2-02), 90(2-12), 95/2(2-12) total measuring 12 Bighas 08 Biswas situated in the revenue estate of Village Pul Pehladpur, New Delhi, acquired vide Award. 839/1958-59 of the petitioners and further to pass appropriate writ, order or directions declaring the acquisition proceedings to have lapsed and have become inoperative under sec.24(2) of the Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act of 2013 as physical possession of the lands of the petitioners comprised in khasra Nos. 187(3-07), 188(4-16) 216(1-16), 219/1(1-03), 220(4-16), 221(4-00), 226(2-08),

229/2(4-08) has not been taken and no compensation in respect of acquired land has been paid to the petitioners and their predecessors.”

2. According to the narration in the petition, the notification under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (‘LAA’) was issued on 30<sup>th</sup> August 1954 and a declaration under Section 6 of LAA was issued on 12<sup>th</sup> March 1955. The Award No. 839/ 1958-59 was passed way back on 14<sup>th</sup> January 1958. There is no explanation for the inordinate delay in approaching the Court for relief.

3. Learned Counsel for the Petitioner seeks leave to withdraw this petition with liberty to file a fresh petition properly explaining the inordinate delay in approaching the Court for relief.

4. Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

**S. MURALIDHAR, J.**

**SANJEEV NARULA, J.**

**FEBRUARY 12, 2019**

ss