

\$~6

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 3077/2018**

PANKAJ @ SUNNY THROUGH PAIROKAR Petitioner

Through: **Mr. Harish Kumar Gupta, Adv.**

versus

STATE (GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI) Respondent

Through: **Mr.Ashish Dutta, APP with SI
Anuj Singh, PS Hazrat
Nizamuddin, Delhi**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDER SHEKHAR

ORDER

%

14.01.2019

1. Learned APP for the State has filed the status report and on the query of the Court stated that there is no other case pending against the petitioner. Investigating Officer also stated that the petitioner has no criminal antecedents and has been in custody since 21.9.2018.
2. The brief facts emanating from the record are that the accused Pankaj @ Sunny along with two co-accused persons came on a scooty and stopped the said scooty in front of the motorcycle of the injured on which the injured alongwith his friend was going. They abused the injured. The scooty was being driven by the accused Pankaj @ Sunny. Co-accused Bhanu got down from the scooty and caught hold of the injured Chinnu Mehta. Accused Pankaj @ Sunny also told the co-accused Bhanu to give a lesson to the injured. Thereafter, the co-accused Bhanu fired twice upon the injured by a pistol due to which

the injured received injury and fell down. The injured was admitted to a hospital and was discharged after one month. The injured received gunshot injury. The doctor had opined the nature of injury as grievous.

3. In this case, the charge-sheet has already been filed and the injured has already been discharged from the hospital. The petitioner has no other criminal antecedents. Hence, taking into consideration the aforesaid facts and the alleged role of the petitioner, this Court is of the opinion that no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in custody, the petitioner be released on bail during the pendency of the matter subject to his furnishing a bail bond in the sum of Rs.25,000/- with one surety of the like amount subject to the satisfaction of Trial Court.

4. The petitioner shall not tamper with the evidence or influence the witnesses. In case of a written complaint against the petitioner that he has tampered with the evidence or has influenced the witnesses, the prosecution after verifying the complaint, if satisfied, may move an application for the cancellation of the bail of the petitioner.

5. The present bail application is disposed of in the above terms.

CHANDER SHEKHAR, J

JANUARY 14, 2019/rk