

\$~7

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 3907/2018 & CrI.M.A.No.50320/2018**

NIPUN BANSAL

..... Petitioner

Represented by: **Mr.Ravi P.Shukla, Ms.Upasna Shukla
and Mr.Dhruv Shukla, Advocates**

versus

ENFORCEMENT DIRECTORATE

..... Respondent

Represented by: **Mr.Amit Mahajan, CGSC with
Mr.Arjun Dev and Ms.Mallika
Hiremath, Advocates**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

18.03.2019

%

1. By this petition, the petitioner had challenged the summons issued to the petitioner by the respondent while investigating ECIR/292/DLZO-II/2009(PK)/2942 on an FIR registered by the CBI being RC No.0712009(E)0003/2009 under Sections 120B/420/467/468/471/511 IPC read with Section 13(2) and 13(1)(d) of the Prevention of Corruption Act.

2. Learned counsel for the respondent states that while investigating the offence under Section 3/4 of the Prevention of Money Laundering Act (PMLA), summons were issued to the petitioner which have been challenged in the present petition and after the investigation, complaint has already been filed before the Court of competent jurisdiction. Hence the present petition has become infructuous.

W.P.(CRL) 3907/2018

page 1 of 2

3. In view of the statement of learned counsel for the respondent, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks leave to withdraw the present petition and the application to take remedies as available in law in case summoned by the learned Trial Court.
4. Leave and liberty granted.
5. Petition and application are dismissed as withdrawn.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

MARCH 18, 2019

mamta