

\$~16

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 13767/2018**

SH. AMAR NATH SAINI

..... Petitioner

Through None.

versus

THE CHAIRMAN-CUM-DISTRICT JUDGE

(NW), ROHINI COURTS LAWYERS' CHAMBER

ALLOTMENT COMMITTEE AND ORS.

..... Respondents

Through Mr Sanjay Dewan, Advocate for R1.

Mr R.S. Rana, Advocate for R3 with R3 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIBHU BAKHRU

ORDER

%

02.08.2019

1. None appears for the petitioner.
2. The learned counsel appearing for respondent no.1 states that the grievance of the petitioner stands addressed. Respondent no.2 has expired and the chamber in question has been allotted to another eligible advocate on a co-sharing basis with the petitioner.
3. The petition is dismissed in default.

VIBHU BAKHRU, J

AUGUST 02, 2019

pkv