

\$~72

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.M.C. 6331/2018**
GANESH SINGH Petitioner

Through: Ms. Sangeeta Jain, Advocate

versus

STATE & ANR. Respondents

Through: Mr. Amit Chaddha, APP with
ASI Vijay Pal Singh, PS:CWC,
Nanakpura, Delhi
Ms. Geeta Dhingra, Advocate
for respondent No.2

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDER SHEKHAR

ORDER

% **25.07.2019**

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for quashing of FIR No.64/2011, under Sections 498-A/406/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('IPC'), registered at P.S.: Nanak Pura, Delhi and the proceedings emanating therefrom.

2. The petitioner and respondent No.2 as well as their respective counsel submitted that the parties have settled their disputes on their own free will, without any force or coercion before the Counselling Cell, Principal Judge, Family Court, South-East, Saket, Delhi on 24.3.2018, in terms whereof petitioner had agreed to pay Rs.18,00,000/- to the respondent No.2. It is further submitted that out of Rs.18,00,000/-, an amount of Rs.13,50,000/- has already been paid to respondent

No.2. It is also submitted that the marriage between the petitioner and respondent No.2 has been dissolved by mutual consent under Section 13B(2) of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 vide a decree of divorce dated 7.6.2018.

3. Respondent No.2, who is present in Court, has reiterated the aforesaid facts and submitted that she has no objection to the petition being allowed and the FIR being quashed in case the petitioner pay the balance amount of Rs.4,50,000/- to her, which is required to be paid as per the settlement arrived at between the parties.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has brought two demand drafts (DD) bearing No.402341, dated 29.4.2019, for a sum of Rs.2,00,000/- and DD No.402342, dated 30.4.2019, for a sum of Rs.2,50,000/-, both drawn on Bank of Baroda, which have been handed over to the respondent No.2 today in the Court.

5. The Investigating Officer ('IO'), who is present in Court, has identified the petitioner as well as respondent No.2 and has also verified the settlement arrived at between the parties.

6. In view of the aforesaid circumstances, the settlement arrived at between the parties and the dissolution of marriage, this Court is of the view that no fruitful purpose would be served in keeping the parties entangled in the criminal proceedings. Accordingly, in the interest of justice, FIR No. 64/2011, under Sections 498-A/406/34 of the IPC, registered at

P.S.: Nanak Pura, Delhi and the proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

7. Petition is disposed of in above terms.

CHANDER SHEKHAR, J

JULY 25, 2019

tp