

\$~61.

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 11435/2017**

DR. MRS. VINOD KAUSHAL Petitioner

Through: Mr. Vishal Maan, Adv.

versus

GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI AND ORS.

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Arjun Pant, Advocate for DDA.
Mr. Yeeshu Jain, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIPIN SANGHI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV NARULA

ORDER
26.09.2019

%

After some arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks leave to withdraw the writ petition with liberty to file a better petition to explain the delay and laches in preferring the present petition.

The petitioner has sought the following reliefs:

“i. Issue of an appropriate writ, order or directions declaring the entire acquisition proceedings in respect of the land of petitioner comprised in Khasra no. 1277/2 (4-03) and 1290 (4-16) measuring 8 bighas and 19 biswas, situated in Revenue Estate of Village Chattarpur, Delhi, to have lapsed in view of Section 24 (2) of The Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Re-settlement Act, 2013.

ii. Pass any further order/s that this Hon'ble Court may deem fit

and proper in the facts and circumstances of the present case.”

The writ petition is permitted to be withdrawn with liberty to file afresh.

VIPIN SANGHI, J

SANJEEV NARULA, J

SEPTEMBER 26, 2019

kd