

\$~

\*

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

66

+

**W.P.(C) 828/2018 & CM 3524/2018**

**MRS HAMID BEGAM AND ORS.**

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr Alamgir, Advocate.

versus

**UNION OF INDIA & ORS**

..... Respondents

Through: Mr Yeeshu Jain and Ms Jyoti Tyagi,  
Advocates for LAC/L&B.

Mr Pawan Mathur, Standing Counsel  
for DDA.

Mr Pushkar Sood and Ms Sohini  
Mukerjeet, Advocates for DMRC.

**CORAM:**

**JUSTICE S.MURALIDHAR**

**JUSTICE SANJEEV NARULA**

**ORDER**

%

**21.01.2019**

1. This petition relates to the acquisition of land on which an entire unauthorized colony is located.
2. Admittedly, the provisional certificate of regularization for the said unauthorized colony has been issued. Copy of the said certificate has also been enclosed with the petition.
3. Apart from the fact that the Petitioners are seeking quashing of the land acquisition proceedings in which the Award was passed way back on 19<sup>th</sup> June 1992, which prayer is obviously barred by laches. The prayer is any

way not tenable in view of the decision dated 10<sup>th</sup> January, 2018 in W.P. (C) 3623/2018 (*Akhil Sibal v. Govt. of NCT of Delhi*) and the decision dated 17<sup>th</sup> January, 2019 in (*Mool Chand v. Union of India*).

4. At this stage, learned counsel for the Petitioner, on instructions, seeks leave to withdraw the petition.

5. The writ petition is accordingly dismissed as withdrawn. The pending application is also dismissed.

6. The dismissal of the writ petition will not come in the way of the Petitioners pursuing their case for regularization of the unauthorized colony in question.

**S. MURALIDHAR, J.**

**SANJEEV NARULA, J.**

**JANUARY 21, 2019**

*rd*