

\$~18

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 5371/2017 &CRL.M.A. 20995/2017

RITU AGGARWAL @ ADITI & ORS. ....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Rajesh Aggarwal, Advocate

versus

NCT OF DELHI & ANR. ....Respondents

Through: Dr. M.P. Singh, Additional Public  
Prosecutor for respondent-State  
with SI Jeetendra Singh  
Mr. Shailender Dahiya, Advocate  
for respondent No. 2

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL GAUR**

**ORDER**

**14.03.2019**

%

Impugned order of 10<sup>th</sup> October, 2017 notes that cognizance has already been taken and rejects petitioners' application seeking discharge while noting that apparently, there is delay in filing of the charge-sheet for the offences under Sections 323/506/34 of IPC.

During the pendency of this petition, charges have been framed against petitioners for the offences under Sections 451/341/323/506(II)/34 of IPC. Petitioner had filed a revision petition against the aforesaid charges, which is said to be pending before the Revisional Court.

Learned counsel for respondents-*complainant* submits that plea of limitation has been taken by petitioners before the Revisional Court.

In view of the aforesaid, this petition and the application are

disposed of as infructuous with direction to the concerned court to pass a speaking order while dealing with the plea of limitation as well.

*Dasti.*

**(SUNIL GAUR)**  
**JUDGE**

**MARCH 14, 2019**

**v**